

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/522,622 | 01/31/2005 | Hiroyuki Tsuboi | 264913US0PCT | 6753 |
| 22850 7 | 590 09/08/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| C. IRVIN MCCLELLAND OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314 | | | BARKER, MICHAEL P | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1626 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 09/08/2006 | 4 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|---|---|
| | 10/522,622 | TSUBOI ET AL. |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Michael P. Barker | 1626 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was precised to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | J. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status | | |
| Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>31 Ja</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E | action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro | |
| Disposition of Claims | | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 are subject to restriction and/or expressions. | vn from consideration. | |
| Application Papers | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | epted or b) objected to by the liderawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | |
| a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | on No ed in this National Stage |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other: | ate |

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-17 are pending in this Application. Claims 1-17 are subject to a restriction requirement.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

Lack of Unity Requirement

Claims 1-17 are drawn to more than one inventive concept (as defined by PCT Rule 13), and accordingly, a restriction is required according to the provision set forth in PCT Rule 13.2.

PCT Rule 13.2 states that the international application shall relate to one invention only or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept (requirement of unity of invention). PCT Rule 13.2 further states unity of invention as referred to in PCT Rule 13.1 shall be fulfilled only when there is a technical relationship among those inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features. Special technical features, as defined in PCT Annex B, Part 1(b), include those technical features which define a contribution over the prior art.

PCT Annex B, Part 1(e) provides combinations of different categories of claims and states:

"The method for determining unity of invention under Rule 13.2 shall be construed as permitting, in particular, the inclusion of any one of the following combinations of claims of different categories in the same international application:

- (i) in addition to an independent claim for a given product, an independent claim for a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and an independent claim for a use of the said product, or
- (ii) in addition to an independent claim for a given process, an independent claim for an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process, or

Art Unit: 1626

(iii) in addition to an independent claim for a given product, an independent claim for a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product and an independent claim for an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process,..."

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1. Due to numerous and widely divergent variables in the compound of Formulas (I) - (V), a precise listing of inventive groups cannot be made. The following Groups are exemplary:

Group I: Claims 1-4 and 17 are drawn to compounds of the general Formula (III), as well as a process of preparing those compounds, classified in various subclasses of classes 548.

Group II: Claims 5-8 are drawn to a process of preparing compounds of the general Formula (I), classified in various subclasses of classes 548.

<u>Group III</u>: Claims 9-12 are drawn to a process of preparing compounds of the general Formula (III), classified in various subclasses of classes 548.

<u>Group IV</u>: Claims 13-16 are drawn to a process of preparing compounds of the general Formula (V), classified in various subclasses of classes 548.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted. As stated above, the above list of groups is not exhaustive, as it would be impossible under the time constraints due to the large volume of subject matter claimed in this application. The claims herein lack unity of invention under PCT Rules 13.1 and 13.2 because, pursuant to 37 CFR 1.475(a), **Groups I-IV** lack unity of invention since under 37 CFR 1.475:

Application/Control Number: 10/522,622 Page 4

Art Unit: 1626

Where a group of inventions is claimed in an application, the requirement of unity of invention shall be fulfilled only when there is a technical feature among those inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features. . .those technical features that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art.

As Groups I-IV are drawn to processes of preparing different compounds, there is no 'technical feature' amongst the inventions of Groups I-IV. Therefore, as there is no 'technical feature', the inventions of Groups I-IV cannot be said to contain a 'special technical feature'. Therefore, Claims 1-17 are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept, and there is lack of unity of invention. The variables vary extensively and, when taken as a whole, result in vastly different products prepared by wholly separate processes. Additionally, the vastness of the claimed subject matter and the complications in understanding the claimed subject matter impose a serious burden on any examination of the claimed subject matter.

Because the claims do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 and lack the same or corresponding special technical features, the claims lack unity of invention and should be limited to a product, a process for the manufacture of said product, or a method of use.

Furthermore, with respect to **Groups I-IV**, even if unity of invention under 36 CFR 1.475(a) is not lacking, a national stage application, under 37 CFR 1.475(b), containing claims to different categories of invention will be considered to have unity of invention if the claims are drawn to only one of the following combinations:

- (1) A product and a process specially adapted for the manufacture of said product; or
- (2) A product and process of use of said product; or
- (3) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of said product, and a use of said product; or
- (4) A process and an apparatus or means specially designed for carrying out said process; or

Application/Control Number: 10/522,622 Page 5

Art Unit: 1626

(5) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of said product, and an apparatus or means specially designed for carrying out said process.

Moreover, according to 37 CFR 1.475(c), if an application contains claims to more or less than one of the combinations of categories of invention set forth in paragraph (b), unity of invention might not be present.

In the instant case, the claims are drawn to more than one product and process.

According to 37 CFR 1.475(e),

The determination whether a group of inventions is so linked as to form a single general inventive concept shall be made without regard to whether the inventions are claimed in separate claims or as alternatives within a single claim.

As a result, the claims lack unity of invention and applicant is required to elect a single invention.

The claims directed toward a single method of preparation and a single method of use will be examined along with the elected invention so long as it is commensurate in scope therewith.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement, to be complete, must include an election of the invention to be examined even if the restriction requirement is traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Telephone Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael P. Barker whose telephone number is (571) 272-4341. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00 AM- 5:00 PM.

Art Unit: 1626

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Joseph K. McKane, can be reached at (571) 272-0699. The unofficial fax phone for this group are (571) 273-8300.

When filing a FAX in Technology Center 1600, please indicate the Header (upper right) "Official" for papers that are to be entered into the file, and "Unofficial" for draft documents and other communications with the PTO that are not for entry into the file of the application.

This will expedite processing of your papers.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is viable through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael P. Barker

Patent Examiner, AU 1626

(for) Joseph McKane

Supervisory Patent Examiner, AU 1626